

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

KURT BENSHOOF et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ANDREA CHIN et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:24-cv-00808-JHC

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs’ “Renewed Emergency Motion for Extension of Time to Serve Defendants.” Dkt. # 116. The Court construes this motion as a motion for reconsideration because it has already denied Plaintiffs’ prior two motions for an extension of time to serve Defendants. Dkt. # 115. The Court has considered Plaintiffs’ motion, the case file, and governing law.

“Motions for reconsideration are disfavored. The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence.” LCR 7(h)(1).

1 Plaintiffs clearly do not make either showing. They do not identify any new legal
2 authority that requires the Court to reach a different conclusion. Nor do Plaintiffs show the
3 Court made any error in its prior ruling, much less a manifest error. Instead, Plaintiffs re-raise
4 the same legal arguments and purported factual disputes the Court has already considered and
5 addressed.

6 Thus, the Court DENIES the motion.

7 Dated this 13th day of May, 2025.

8 

9 John H. Chun
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24